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ABSTRACT This paper explores gender differences among hospital residents’ performance of clinical tasks in sleep-derived situations. Two different research instruments were employed to investigate the physicians’ performance: an objective measure assessing the effects of sleep loss on performance by means of a computer game, and a subjective measure, a self-evaluation questionnaire investigating physicians’ assessments of the effects of sleep loss on their professional performance. The results reveal no significant differences in objective performance between men and women as obtained by the computer game after a night shift. However, significant gender differences in self-assessment appeared in the self-reported questionnaire in the domains of technical abilities, decision-making and general functioning. Women residents reported a significant decline in some components of their performance after a night shift, while male residents reported only a marginal effect on their performance. The major contribution of this study is in its actual applications in developing optimal work schedules and organizational interventions to minimize fatigue and increase safety.

INTRODUCTION

In the present research we were concerned with the gender differences of male and female hospital physicians in their actual performance of assigned cognitive and mechanical tasks as compared with their self-assessments of the quality of their performance of several professional on-the-job tasks. Much of previous research has focused on widely shared perceptions of differential gender-based competence in the execution of certain professional tasks: men have been considered more capable in “general performance” and in tasks demanding greater mechanical competence, rationality and assertion while women were considered better at tasks necessitating nurturing and provision of services, and in roles entailing expressions of caring and sympathy (Fiske et al., 2002; Wagner and Berger 1997). Although perceptions of many aspects of gender differences in performance have changed to some degree, empirical studies have found that both men and women continue to appraise men as generally more capable and that men are more valued socially and appraised as more competent than women (Fiske et al., 2002; Williams and Best, 1990), especially insofar as the important aspects of competitive, high-ranking professions are concerned (Wagner and Berger, 1997; Ridgeway and Correll, 2000). Moreover, there is a large corpus of studies indicating that individuals, especially women, are far from precise self-evaluators when judging their own abilities: men overestimate and women underestimate performance on tasks gender-typed as masculine while men and women are both more accurate on those gender-typed as feminine (Beyer, 1995; Beyer and Bowden, 1997; Furnham, 2005).

One possible explanation for such differences asserts that perceptions of gender-based differences in performance often reflect what we think “most people” believe or accept as true about men’s and women’s dissimilar proclivities. As such, individuals modify their behavior and bias their evaluations accordingly (Foschi 1996; Lovaglia et al., 1998; Steele 1997). The consequences might be: (a) performance modification – a modification of work-related behaviors and performance by both men and women in relation to the shared perceptions of men’s and women’s dissimilar tendencies; and (b) gender-based perceptions of gender differen-tiated competence,
since shared perceptions may produce a pro-male bias in evaluating men’s performance of certain types of tasks that accord men higher performance ratings than women in specific professions, and that can therefore evoke gender-differentiated standards for attributing performance to an ability (Maurer and Taylor, 1994; Robbins and DeNisi, 1993). According to a different interpretation of such shared perceptions, each gender’s self-assessments of competence in task fulfillment are based on pre-conceived notions of gender-based capabilities. The self-assessments that men and women make of their own competence at their work-related tasks might thus also be biased due to the shared perceptions flourishing in their specific environments. Differentiation in men and women’s performance suitability, and specifically a pro-male bias, has been found when the occupation is traditionally viewed as a man’s job and the situation is viewed as competitive.

These perspectives underlie the central interest of the present study, which is an attempt to discern modifications in performance that appear to have developed in competitive environments, in this case in the work world of hospital physicians, and gender-based perceptions regarding men’s and women’s professional performance. Specifically, are there any objective and/or subjective differences in performance of occupational tasks by each gender, that is, of men as a group and of women as a group?

For the purpose of this study and by addressing the limitations of previous research in measuring performance we adapted two different research tools: a computer game that simulated participants’ performance and a self-reported questionnaire representing the participants’ self-assessment on their performance. The participants were hospital residents, and the tools were administered twice, once before the commencement of a day shift and again after the completion of a night shift, thus indicating performance in normal as well as in sleep-deprived situations.

Our focus on sleep-deprived situations allowed for the partial control of intervening effects in our attempt to explore both the respondents’ actual performance of professional tasks and their self-assessments of their performance, since it served as a reference point vis-à-vis their attempt to rank the quality of their performance of several tasks, that is, “before night-duty” and “after completion of a shift of night duty.” This is specifically relevant in the work world of physicians since professional performance fluctuates across shifts, and validity pitfalls and deficiencies might emerge through residents’ potential replies on their overall performance. Other reference points could have been used as well, but since hospital residents frequently experience night-shifts, the sleep-deprived situation played a dual role, serving as a reference point and constituting a routine and familiar situation.

**Performance of Male and Female Professionals**

The accumulated research on gender differences in performance levels presents a mixture of results, with some empirical studies not indicating any significant differences between the genders in performance (Hall et al., 1990; Guzman and Frank, 2004), while in others some discrepancies between the genders emerge, with men appearing to perform better in terms of completion of tasks, output and even in effective decision-making and assertiveness towards achievement of goals (Grantcharov et al., 2001; Watson, 2002) – all of which are related to the concept of “masculine” competences. A third group of studies shows women performing better, though only in specific positions and situations; this is apparently due to the measurements of certain interpersonal skills that more frequently depict female performance strengths (Jackson and Joshi, 2001; Lewis, 1997) associated with “feminine” abilities. In both cases, a larger number of stereotypically masculine characteristics in a given profession negatively affects women’s achievements, while in environments encompassing traits that are more stereotypically feminine ones, women are better in both performance and achievement (see e.g., Gorman, 2005; Kelinske et al., 2001).

However, a more in-depth examination of these studies on gender and performance illuminates questions regarding several subjects relevant to our inquiry: definition of performance at work; measurement of performance; and control of indirect effects on gender (e.g., demographic characteristics). Since each of these subjects can be defined and measured in very different ways, the generalizations regarding the empirical results described above may be compromised.

Performance at work is a multifaceted endeavor (Sider et al., 2001; Sturman et al., 2005); it can be conceptualized as an individual’s overall
performance and task proficiency, as performance in specific dimensions such as the quality or the quantity of work done, and more. Its empirical exploration is based to a large extent on unidimensional tools that are addressed to the measures of outcome of the performance, while neglecting, or ignoring, the measures of process (Eddy, 1998; Griffith et al., 2002; Legnini et al., 2000; Treasure et al., 2002). Since the composite construct of performance is even more complex in clinical situations, the definition and measurement of performance at work should encompass a sufficient number of different aspects of the occupational demands.

Thus, considering men or women as advantaged, or as being better in their work performance than their opposite-gendered counterparts appears to be irrelevant, but the prevalence of such widespread perceptions means that a more complicated measurement has to be employed in order to obtain accurate measurements of men’s and women’s performance levels in specific situations. Uni-dimensional measurements, such as those usually employed, may illustrate only certain specific aspects of performance and neglect others; thus, at least two methodological tools should be employed in order to broaden the spectrum of measurement of performance. Moreover, instead of measuring performance itself, some studies employ performance appraisal procedures in order to determine gender differences in performance (Brienza et al., 2004; Crossley and Davis, 2005), which in turn may have been based on gender biases in evaluating performance (Hind and Baruch, 1997; Pazy and Oron, 2001; Viswesvaran et al., 2005). The employment of two measures thus might reduce such biases.

Finally, gender differences in performance are perceived in the context in which the task takes place; hence, gender-related variables should be taken into account when observing these differences, such as status, seniority, age, gender-related professional behaviors or even cognitive gender-related styles or time spent at tasks (Bonanno and Kommers, 2005; Eagly and Wood, 1999), all of which induce variables determining the differences obtained in the performance levels of men and women professionals. In clinical work environments, gender-related professional behaviors appear crucial in leading to differences in performance: studies show that female physicians tend to identify different health problems than those recognized by their male colleagues (specifically in instances of “social” and “metabolic” problems), spend more time with patients compared to their male colleagues, and have a greater tendency to provide continuity care (Bensing et al., 1993; Britell et al., 1996). Thus, controlling such gender-related variables is required in the investigation of gender and performance at work.

In conclusion, the research on gender and professional performance reveals several methodological pitfalls. This suggests a need for the exploration of gender differences in professional performance through a more inclusive methodological process.

Performance in Sleep-deprived Situations

Sleep-deprived situations are a stressful yet usual state that hospital residents experience. Although there is a secure body of evidence indicating that performance declines due to the fatigue resulting from sleep deprivation (Ancoli-Israel and Weinger, 2002; Dorrian et al., 2000; Dula et al., 2001; Fletcher et al., 2003), the majority of the studies do not show any significant effect of gender on performance in such situations. However, although women were found to self-perceive a consequent deterioration in their performance when sleep-deprived more often than men (Defoe et al., 2001), their actual performance when sleep-deprived has not been found to differ significantly from that of their male counterparts (Fulco et al., 2001).

A recent study by Papp et al. (2004) presents results indicating a major impact of sleep loss on physicians’ abilities to perform their professional work, but no gender differences appeared. Other studies, from the field of aviation research, addressed differences between the ability of sleep-deprived male and female pilots to withstand combat stressors and their ability to maintain cognitive performance; they found that gender produced no operationally significant effects on any of the performance domains examined (Caldwell and LeDuc, 1998; Chelette et al., 1998). Another study (Grantcharov et al., 2003) examined the results, measured by a trainer-virtual reality, of repetitions of six identical clinical tasks that surgeons were asked to perform during a daytime shift and after a night on call. The authors found no significant gender differences in the measures of performance of these tasks in terms of error,
but differences emerged in terms of how long it took to perform the tasks. Further studies investigating these findings revealed certain gender-related differences in bio-psychological processes among women that indicated that fatigue develops more slowly in women than in men (Fulco et al., 2001; Mourtazaev et al., 1995), an attribute that could reveal higher performance levels among women while sleep-deprived, as compared to men. Insofar as self-evaluation is concerned, the findings showed that when fatigue occurs, it leads to women exhibiting relatively higher levels of psychological and mental distress (Matuszek et al., 1995), which could lead to relatively lower self-evaluations of their performance when affected by fatigue.

The cited literature addresses differences in the genders’ self-assessments of their performance, with mixed findings emerging in the context of their objective performance. Since the measurement of “performance” lacks uniformity, these findings should be reconsidered through valid methodological tools and procedures.

Hypotheses

Based on the cited literature on the differences in men’s and women’s self-assessments of performance in both “normal” and sleep-deprived situations, we postulated that:

1. Men’s and women’s self-assessments of the effects of sleep deprivation on their professional performances differ: women assess their performance as negatively affected by sleep deprivation more than men do.

Though the results in the literature on gender and professional performance are mixed, no significant gender differences emerged in performance when sleep-deprived. From this perspective we hypothesized further that:

2. Men’s and women’s objective performance when sleep-deprived will exhibit no significant differences.

In our aim to isolate indirect gender-related effects on either self-assessed performance or on objective simulated performance, we introduced personal and job-related determinants on the basis of the following hypothesis:

3. The perceived effects of sleep loss on men and women physicians’ self-assessments on their professional performance and on their objective performance will remain substantial after accounting for personal and job-related determinants.

METHOD

Study Population

A group of one hundred and fifty-three pediatric residents, 71 female and 82 male, working in three Israeli medical centers participated in the study. The residents typically work rosters that include two to four eight-hour day shifts and two to three 16-hour night shifts per week.

We asked each participant to provide information regarding the following personal and job-related determinants: (1) number of night shifts per month; (2) average hours of sleep per night shift; (3) actual hours of sleep during the night shift just ended; (4) self-evaluation of the complexity of the night shift just ended; (5) number of hours into a night shift after which performance begins to decline; (6) number of years of seniority in the specialization program; and (7) gender.

In order to control, at least partially, gender-related differences in their clinical work world (Bensing et al., 1993; Britt et al., 1996), which might have an indirect impact on the results of this study, and to maintain a more homogeneous and uniform group and thus reduce indirect effects, we included only pediatric residents in the present study.

The Study Tools

Defining and measuring job performance is a complex task. The use of multiple tools to measure performance in research has been rare to date, although most researchers agree that performance measurement should rely on more than one process measure (Schul and Wren, 1992); specifically, measures that can be justified with an objective, evidence-based rationale should be used along with subjective measures, such as self-reports or self-assessments.

The present study avoids problems relating to the use of a single performance assessment, or the use of performance appraisals as a research tool obtaining an “objective” view, by using two different measures. The first, the objective measure, is a problem-solving computer game, and the other, subjective measure, is a self-assessment questionnaire; both probe factors of relevance to physicians’ professional performance.

While self-assessment questionnaires are well
established in the literature as tools for subjectively assessing performance both in normal and in sleep-deprived situations, no widely accepted objective evaluation tools are available for this purpose. The use of computer games in this context, although new and relatively innovative, has been found to be valid and reliable (e.g., Rosekind et al., 1995; Smith-Coggins et al., 1994; Taffinder et al., 1998).

The Objective Tool: We employed a Tetris computer game (TCG) to assess the respondents’ actual performance in completing the game in both normal and sleep-deprived situations. One advantage of using computer games is that the cognitive activity of the players during game-play replicates human behavior, especially in the revelation of differences in players’ performance (Kavakli and Gero, 2002; Kavakli and Thorne, 1999; Rappaport, 1997), for example in terms of technical ability, concentration, how problems are encountered, help-seeking behavior, and short- and long-term decision-making (Suwa et al., 1998). Researchers in cognition point out that some games require players to develop complex strategies that involve high-level cognitive abilities, such as drawing inferences from implicit information and estimating risk (Pillay, 2002). We chose a Tetris computer game that is simple to operate and learned relatively easily and rapidly (Demaine et al., 2004). More importantly, the TCG selected has been precisely quantified and found to be a valid means of measuring alertness and concentration (Haier et al., 1992), which are major components of clinical professional performance. The TCG requires players to make assumptions about risks, draw on their memories, and make decisions. Since clinical professional performance involves alertness, concentration, effective decision-making and problem solving (ABIM Foundation, 2002; ABIM Foundation; ACP-ASIM, 2003), we consider the TCG to be a suitable means of obtaining accurate measures of objective performance parameters under sleep-deprived and non-sleep-deprived, or normal, conditions.

The Subjective Tool: A self-reported questionnaire (SRQ) was used to obtain respondents’ evaluations of their professional performance in sleep-deprived situations, i.e., after working a night shift. The questionnaires were distributed to all pediatric residents, with a 98% response rate. The SRQ asked residents to assess the effect of sleep deprivation on their general performance and on specific aspects of it. The question was: “Please rank your performance during your night shift, as you assess it with respect to the following functions.”

A list of eleven professional performance components followed, based on “a physician charter” (ABIM, 2002, 2003), all derived from physicians’ core professional performance demands, which served as key measures. The professional performance components listed were: (a) decision making; (b) ability to concentrate; (c) memory; (d) case analysis; (e) execution of differential diagnosis; (f) reading medical literature; (g) technical ability; (h) quality of patient history acquisition; (i) ability to receive negative feedback; (j) patience towards medical staff; and (k) general functioning. The ranking, on a scale of 1–4, was as follows: 1 – Performance component strongly and negatively affected by sleep loss; 2 – Performance component moderately and negatively affected by sleep loss; 3 – Performance component not affected by sleep loss; and 4 – Performance component positively affected by sleep loss. Reliability tests (Cronbach’s alpha) of this scale showed the components to be reliable (α=0.85) and suitable for employment in this study.

PROCEDURE

Each participant played the Tetris computer game until he/she lost a game (about 10 minutes). We then recorded each respondent’s best result out of the total number of games played. The respondents were invited to play the TCG on two separate occasions, both at 8 a.m.: (a) once upon commencement of an 8-hour day shift and (b) once upon the nominal conclusion of a night shift (i.e., after 16 hours on duty). During night shifts sleep is limited, and usually interrupted; however only those respondents reporting being awake during at least part of the night shift were included in this study. The two objective measurements were both made at 8 a.m., thus reducing performance biases related to their timing. The third measure, (c), representing the percentage of performance change, was computed for each player by calculating the percentage of change between the best (a) and (b) scores along with the direction of change. Thus, the (c) values for players who gained higher scores at the start of day shift than those gained after a night shift were positive, while (c) values for players for whom the reverse was true were negative.

Fifty-eight participants (37.9%) were not
acquainted with the Tetris game and needed instruction and a demonstration. T-Tests show no significant gender differences amongst those previously acquainted with the Tetris game (mean=-0.73, s.d.=1.086, t=-4.442, p>0.05).

Following completion of the TCG, we presented the respondents with the self-report questionnaire (SRQ). They filled out the SRQ only once, at the nominal conclusion of their night shift at 8 a.m. Completed questionnaires were collected immediately. This presentation order was followed to prevent the questionnaire variables (concentration, decision-making, etc.) from affecting the subjects’ objective performances, for example by creating a situation whereby their actual performance could be affected by their self-assessed concentration levels or decision-making abilities (see Dorrian et al., 2003; Rogers and Dinges, 2003). However, in the following sections we describe and discuss the tests in the reverse order (i.e. first the subjective, self-assessment, data, then the objective data), as this order better suits that of the hypotheses presented above.

All the parameters evaluated by the subjective and objective tools are relevant to clinical performance (ABIM Foundation, 2002; ABIM Foundation; ACP-ASIM, 2003). However, each tool (the SRQ and the TCG) assessed different performance determinants. These two measurement tools were employed in our study in order to broaden the empirical spread of this study and not in order to validate each tool’s relevance.

RESULTS AND DATA ANALYSIS

Prior to the investigation of this study’s hypotheses we tested for the possible presence of significant differences, in terms of this study’s measures, between the respondents from the different hospitals. The results from the ANOVA show no such significant differences (gender: F(2, 144)=0.92, p>0.05; seniority: F(2, 144)=0.22, p>0.05; the mean number of night shifts per month: F(2, 144)=3.26, p>0.05; and the mean amount of sleep during a night shift: F(2, 144)=1.10, p>0.05).

The Subjective Measure (SRQ)

To investigate the first hypothesis, that women report a greater decline in performance after a night shift than do men, we performed a multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA). The MANOVA used all eleven of the professional performance components listed in the SRQ (see Methods: The Subjective Tool) as dependent variables and gender as a covariate. The analysis reveals that according to residents’ self-assessments, gender has a significant main effect on the performance of professional functions after a night shift (Wilks $\lambda=0.695$, F(1,149)=1.48, p<0.05). No interaction between the main effects was found. However, the follow-up test of Between Subjects Effects reveals a relationship between gender and self-assessments in five performance components: decision making; execution of differential diagnosis; reading medical literature; technical ability; and general function.

The descriptive results show the following: approx. 16% of men and 83% of women assess that a sleep-deprivation has strong and negative effects on their decision making; approx. 41% of men and 59% of women report that it has strong and negative effects on execution of differential diagnosis; about 53% of men and 46% of women report that it has strong and negative effects on reading medical literature; and about 33% of men and 66% of women assess that a night shift has strong and negative effects on technical ability and general functioning. Thus, except with respect to reading medical literature, a greater percentage of women than men consider a night shift very detrimental to their performance, and that whereas only a minority of the men surveyed feel themselves to be thus affected, a majority of the women surveyed hold this view.

The Objective Measure (TCG)

To investigate the second hypothesis, that there are no significant objective differences between the changes in men and women physicians’ actual performances after a night shift, we employed the Tetris computer game scores. A One-Way ANOVA was performed with Post Hoc Multiple comparisons, and a Bonferroni correction was employed. Three measures of Tetris performance (a, b and c, as defined in the Procedure section) were used as dependent variables. Gender was included as the independent variable. The ANOVA results should reveal the extent to which there is a gender-based variance in Tetris game performance. Our results show no significant gender effect in any of the measures.
of the Tetris scores. Subsequent descriptive tests were employed. The descriptive results show that the men’s mean Tetris scores (mean=13,112; s.d.=16,222) are higher than the women’s (mean=12,878; s.d.=13,712) at the start of a day shift, while the women’s mean scores (mean=10,204; s.d.=11,829) are higher after a night shift than the men’s (mean=8,437; s.d.=10,037); thus scores decline after the night shift for both genders. However, judging from the ANOVA these results are not significant (Table 1).

**Personal and Job-related Determinants**

In order to decipher the net and direct effects of sleep-deprivation on men’s and women’s self-assessment and objective performance we included the seven personal and job-related determinants we considered as significant for the six professional performance components:

### Table 1: Regression analyses using the self-report data, with the professional performance components as dependent variables and the personal and job-related determinants as independent variables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision Making</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of night shifts per month</td>
<td>1.633</td>
<td>.030</td>
<td>.219</td>
<td>.828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average hours of sleep per night shift</td>
<td>-.149</td>
<td>-.154</td>
<td>-1.101</td>
<td>.279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual hours of sleep during the night shift just ended</td>
<td>-.158</td>
<td>.363</td>
<td>2.358</td>
<td>.024*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self assessment of the complexity of the night shift just ended</td>
<td>.281</td>
<td>.295</td>
<td>1.772</td>
<td>.086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of hours into a night shift after which performance begins to decline</td>
<td>6.664</td>
<td>.539</td>
<td>3.941</td>
<td>.000**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of years of seniority in the specialization program</td>
<td>4.549</td>
<td>.094</td>
<td>.628</td>
<td>.534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>-.375</td>
<td>-.287</td>
<td>-2.115</td>
<td>.042*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R=.688; R²=.474; Adj R²=.362; F Change(7, 53)=4.248; p<.002**.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reading Medical Literature</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of night shifts per month</td>
<td>-2.041</td>
<td>-.068</td>
<td>-.436</td>
<td>.666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average hours of sleep per night shift</td>
<td>-6.759</td>
<td>-.128</td>
<td>-.798</td>
<td>.431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual hours of sleep during the night shift just ended</td>
<td>7.173</td>
<td>.300</td>
<td>1.705</td>
<td>.098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self assessment of the complexity of the night shift just ended</td>
<td>-7.845</td>
<td>-.150</td>
<td>-.789</td>
<td>.436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of hours into a night shift after which performance begins to decline</td>
<td>1.007</td>
<td>.148</td>
<td>.950</td>
<td>.349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of years of seniority in the specialization program</td>
<td>8.876</td>
<td>.333</td>
<td>1.955</td>
<td>.050*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>-.235</td>
<td>-.327</td>
<td>-2.107</td>
<td>.043*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R=.559; R²=.312; Adj R²=.166; F Change(7, 53)=2.141; p<.050*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General Functioning</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of night shifts per month</td>
<td>3.320</td>
<td>.072</td>
<td>.503</td>
<td>.619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average hours of sleep per night shift</td>
<td>.353</td>
<td>-.439</td>
<td>-2.956</td>
<td>.006**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual hours of sleep during the night shift just ended</td>
<td>.137</td>
<td>.378</td>
<td>2.315</td>
<td>.027*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self assessment of the complexity of the night shift just ended</td>
<td>.165</td>
<td>.208</td>
<td>1.178</td>
<td>.247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of hours into a night shift after which performance begins to decline</td>
<td>3.408</td>
<td>.331</td>
<td>2.278</td>
<td>.029*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of years of seniority in the specialization program</td>
<td>6.858</td>
<td>.017</td>
<td>.107</td>
<td>.915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>-.264</td>
<td>-.242</td>
<td>-1.679</td>
<td>.050*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R=.637; R²=.406; Adj R²=.280; F Change(7, 53)=3.227; p<.010**.

Summary of statistics for the remaining eight professional performance components:

| Concentration ability | R=.468; R²=.219; Adj R²=.053; F Change(2, 265)=1.319; p=.272 |
| Memory | R=.427; R²=.182; Adj R²=.009; F Change(7, 53)=1.052; p=.415 |
| Case analysis | R=.526; R²=.277; Adj R²=.123; F Change (7, 53) = 1.802; p = .120 |
| Execution of differential diagnosis | R=.535; R²=.287; Adj R²=.135; F Change(7, 53)=1.895; p=.102 |
| Technical ability | R=.452; R²=.205; Adj R²=.036; F Change(7, 53)=1.213; p=.323 |
| Quality of patient history acquisition | R=.473; R²=.223; Adj R²=.059; F Change(7, 53)=1.355; p=.257 |
| Ability to receive negative feedback | R=.489; R²=.239; Adj R²=.078; F Change(7, 53)=1.481; p=.208 |
| Patience towards medical staff | R=.405; R²=.164; Adj R²=.014; F Change(7, 53)=.922; p=.502 |

Note: p<.050  p**<.010
determinants (see Methods: Study population). 
(a) We performed regression analyses for each 
professional performance component in an Enter 
method, utilizing each one of the eleven 
professional performance components listed in 
the SRQ (see Methods: The subjective tool) as 
the dependent variable, and employing the 
personal and job-related determinants as 
independent variables. The Regression equation 
results (Table 2) are not significant; indicating 
that none of the seven determinants investigated 
is able to significantly explain the observed 
changes in Tetris performance after a night shift 
compared to those observed at the start of a day 
shift.

**DISCUSSION**

Sleep deprivation is known to contribute to 
the human error component in medical treatment. 
Hospital physicians’ function around the clock; 
they typically work long hours and are often sleep 
deprived, factors that have a detrimental impact 
in their performance of clinical tasks.

In view of these known and accepted facts, 
the present study attempted to explore whether 
there are gender-related differences in physicians’ 
ability to perform job-related tasks, and in men and 
women hospital physicians’ self-assessments of 
their performance when sleep deprived.

Performance of clinical tasks is a multi-faceted 
undertaking, while its empirical exploration is based 
to a large extent on uni-dimensional tools. In the 
present study, in order to overcome possible biases 
related to performance measurements (discussed 
in the Introduction), we employed two different 
tools, both reflecting versatility of performance. 
By incorporating subjective assessments (self-
reports of performance) and objectively measured 
performance of tasks (a computer game), we 
measured both outcome and process.

Although it is generally accepted that the 
power of the combination of objective and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2: Regression analysis using the objective Tetris game-play data, with professional performance components 1 as dependent variables and personal and job-related determinants as independent variables</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Per centages and direction of performance change</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of night shifts per month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average hours of sleep per night shift</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual hours of sleep during the night shift just ended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self assessment of the complexity of the night shift just ended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of years of seniority in the specialization program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R=.554; R²=.307; Adj R²=.160; F Change(2, 265)=2.090; p>.050.

*Note: p*<.050 p**<.010

1 The eleven professional performance components are: (1) decision making; (2) ability to concentrate; (3) memory; (4) case analysis; (5) execution of differential diagnosis; (6) reading medical literature; (7) technical ability; (8) quality of patient history acquisition; (9) ability to receive negative feedback; (10) patience towards medical staff; and (11) general functioning.
subjective measures is greater in situations in which the measures agree, we consider the most interesting finding in our results the fact that the respondents’ objectively measured performance diverges from their self-reports of performance. Such a divergence implies that men and women hospital physicians continue to have specific and biased gender-based perceptions of their professional capabilities. A population of residents specializing in pediatrics was chosen for this study in order to ensure greater homogeneity and minimize possible indirect effects of specialization type on our research findings (Gjerberg, 2002).

Our first hypothesis has been partially supported by our findings, which revealed differences in the men and women residents’ self-assessments in several performance components. These results appear to accord with the literature showing that women perceive a deterioration in their performance when sleep-deprived more than do men, despite the fact that no gender differences emerged in objective performance measures (Dijk et al., 1993; Fulco et al., 2001).

Our second hypothesis, that no differences would emerge in men’s and women’s objective performance, was explored through the use of a Tetris computer game that the respondents played once in a normal situation and once in a sleep-deprived situation; the scores achieved were compared in order to assess the differences in performance in the two situations. The results of this comparison indicated an absence of significant gender differences in performance, thus confirming our second hypothesis. Nevertheless, means of the men and women physician’s best TCG scores after a night-shift or before a day shift do differ: on average men physicians scored higher than women physicians at the start of a day shift, while women scored higher than men after a night shift. Since the results obtained were not statistically significant, gender performance should be re-examined in future research using a broader population of physicians. Despite its limitations, the results are in agreement with the empirical findings, discussed in the body of this paper, of certain bio-psychological gender differences that indicate that fatigue develops more slowly in women than in men, an attribute that could explain women’s higher performance scores after a night shift (Fulco et al., 2001; Mourtazaev et al., 1995).

The last step was the evaluation of the net effect of gender on self-assessment, along with the effect of any other gender-related variables that might indirectly influence the respondents’ self-assessments and their objective performance. The analyses revealed that there were three performance components regarding which women physicians reported a decline in performance after a night shift in which their male counterparts did not: decision-making, reading medical literature and general functioning. No significant results emerged from the regression employing the computer game as a dependent variable.

Overall, these results reveal discrepancies between women’s objective performance and their subjective assessment of their performance when experiencing sleep loss, although the discrepancies were not in the same performance domains. This contradiction is consistent with several studies in the fields of military psychology and aviation that show some degree of inconsistency between performance tests in sleep-deprived situations and self-evaluations (Baransk et al., 2002; LeDuc et al., 2000). Although the latter studies did not refer specifically to gender differences, they did indicate inconsistencies between participants’ cognitive performance as evaluated by objective measures of performance of batteries of tasks and subjective assessments of performance.

IMPLICATIONS AND LIMITATIONS

The main contribution of our study is its findings regarding the comparative assessments of men and women hospital physicians of the effects that sleep debts have on the clinical tasks they must perform, and in delineating real versus presupposed differences in actual performance of tasks when fatigued by sleep loss. We deciphered these findings while controlling for objective parameters of the women physicians’ subjective acceptance of the traditional assessments of women’s – and women physicians’ among them – lesser strengths. Acceptance, by both sexes, of such differences between men’s and women’s abilities to perform tasks competently in sleep-deprived situations highlights the continued agreement with the traditional views of gendered capabilities. These are important contributions to academic research as well as to organizational endeavors to fully integrate women in the medical workforce and to allow for their professional advancement.
The results of our study clearly confirm that reliance on one measurement tool will yield biased and incomplete results (Solomon and Ferenchick, 2005), and strongly suggest that multi-faceted measures should be implemented in the hospital routine in order to monitor physicians’ sleep debts and the impact of these on the different measures of their performance. This study’s results also clearly demonstrate the need to raise the awareness of hospital managements to the relationship between performance of clinical tasks and sleep loss, and to focus on the self-confidence of women physicians regarding their performance when affected by sleep-deprivation.

Since this research is based on a fairly small study/sample population from only three Israeli hospitals, in future research the number of respondents should be expanded in order to trace the different affects of sleep debts among the genders on their different professional tasks. Future research should sample a larger number of medical centers and include physicians from a range of specialties. Moreover, though the Tetris in our study provided useful insights into the some relevant objective measures of performance while sleep deprived, of both men and women physicians, more finely-adjusted tools should be developed and implemented in hospitals in order to assess physicians’ abilities and performance when affected by sleep debts. The virtual simulations, used at present in hospitals for training and assessment are usually complex and require specific expertise from the evaluator; they are also expensive and, finally, can be biased by the evaluators’ perspectives. Moreover, we were unable to locate any empirical study employing virtual simulations for sleep deprivation assessment and prevention. Such assessment tools lack in the research field, as well.

NOTES

1 All three medical centers are public hospitals. Two of the centers are general hospitals and one is a pediatric hospital. All three hospitals are located in the metropolitan area of Tel Aviv and serve a broad range of patients.
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