

# The Effect of Sense of Meaning in the First-year of Study on Throughput at an Institution of Higher Learning in South Africa

Solomon Makola

*Welkom Campus, Central University of Technology, Free State, South Africa  
E-mail: smakola@cut.ac.za*

**KEYWORDS** Academic Success. Life Stressors. Logotherapy. Long-term Achievement. Purpose in Life

**ABSTRACT** The paper evaluates the relationship between sense of meaning and throughput rate with a random sample of alumnae (N=101) from a university of technology, in South Africa, (age range = 27 to 30 years, females = 73%). Data was gathered using the Purpose in Life Test (PIL), Life Stressors and Recourses Inventory (LISRES-Y), and Biographical Questionnaire. Multiple regression analyses were performed. The hierarchical F-test was used to determine whether the contribution by a specific variable to the R<sup>2</sup> value is statistically significant. A significant correlation ( $p < 0.01$ ) was found between sense of meaning and throughput rate. On its own, the PIL scores explained 23.4 percent of the variance in throughput rate. As a result, the current research discovered that a high sense of meaning, in the first year of study, could be used to predict long-term achievement at institutions of higher learning.

## INTRODUCTION

One of the problems facing South African universities is the relatively low throughput and success rate, which was seventy-four percent in 2010, compared to a desired national norm of eighty percent. According to reports, only eighteen percent of South African students who registered for a three-year degree managed to graduate in record time, and fifty percent of those who registered dropped out (Nkosi 2015; Seepe 2005). Statistics released by the Council for Higher Education in 2012, reported that only sixteen percent of students who registered for degrees in 2005 graduated within the specified time. This figure is well below the national norm of twenty-five percent for full-time students studying towards a three-year qualification (CHE 2012).

One of the important priorities facing universities is access to success; as a result, universities are seeking ways to ensure that students succeed. For this reason, university administrators are looking at best possible ways to predict academic success at institutions of higher learning, so they can use them in their admissions process to determine applicants' possibility of successfully completing their studies (Gifford et al. 2006).

Coupled with predicting throughput rate is monitoring and ensuring that the motivation levels of students are high especially in the first year of study which is considered to be the defining time for the rest of their university careers (Busse 2013; Cadet 2008; Campbell and Mislav

2013; Hull-Blanks et al. 2005; Jackson et al. 2003; Morrow and Ackerman 2012; Shelton 2003; Tin-tin 1993). As a result, strategies to strengthen the students' motivation levels should be put in place because a number of talented young people are failing to achieve at the same level of their academic potential (Balduf 2009). It is for this reason that a motivational factor such as sense of meaning could be significant in ensuring persistence and better adjustment amongst students (Makola and Van Der Berg 2010; Mansfield et al. 2009).

## Sense of Meaning and Higher Education

Several researchers have made significant recommendations on how university personnel can assist students in their search for meaning, for example, in playing a mentoring role, incorporating issues of purpose in life into every aspect of their work with students and much more (Chambers and Parks 2002; Chickering 1969; Humphrey 2005; Moran 2001; Reisser and Chickering 1993). However, most of the research which was conducted amongst university students focused mainly on how it relates to psychological issues, which may indirectly impact students' retention or attrition, such as anxiety, depression, self-esteem, engagement in university campus activities and self-efficacy (DeWitz et al. 2009; Molasso 2006).

Earlier studies found a significant correlation between meaning orientation and scholastic achievements (Martin and Martin 1977; Nack-

ord 1983). The results of a research by Greenway (2005) reported that academic engagement is a strong predictor of success amongst university students, and that meaning in life was significantly predictive of a student's level of engagement. Several studies by researchers showed a significant relationship between meaning in life and academic performance amongst graduate students (Benejam 2006; Chen 2014; Makola 2007; Turashvili and Japaridze 2012).

In a research conducted with first-year students, three variables correlated significantly with academic performance, namely, purpose in life, grade twelve results, and parents as a resource; however, it was mainly the variable—purpose in life—that showed a significant contribution to the criterion (Makola 2007). On its own it explained fourteen point nine percent of the variance in first-year academic performance (Makola 2007). In addition, a research by Olivera-Celdran (2011) also reported that sense of meaning was one of the variables, which contributed significantly to the prediction of cumulative GPA amongst college freshmen. Thus the research suggests that college freshmen who are more purposeful are more likely to have higher Cumulative GPAs at the end of their freshman year.

In his keynote address to the Pan Pacific First Year experiences Conference, Vincent Tinto mentioned that seventy-five percent of students who do not complete their studies attribute the reasons for this to difficulties encountered in the first-year of study (Tinto 1995). The results of a research conducted by Makola and Van Der Berg (2010) revealed that sense of meaning is one of the constructs which helps students tolerate life stressors more effectively, thus improving adjustment to the demands of life, including academic demands in the first-year of study. This is due to the fact that the meaning potentials of participants who scored high on the Purpose in Life Test (PIL) are different from those who scored low on the PIL. What is distinctive about participants who scored high on the PIL is that they see meaning in their studies, are more interested in the service they will provide, and they maintain positive attitudes. Thus, students with a high sense of meaning are more likely to persist with their studies (Makola 2007). On the contrary, research by Olivera-Celdran (2011) reports that even though a purposeful life was a statistically significant predictor of academic

performance in the first-year of university, this variable is not a statistically significant predictor of persistence.

Some of the researchers who investigated the effect of sense of meaning on throughput did so by incorporating it with wellness related variables like healthy eating patterns, life satisfaction, goal setting, and prayer and meditation. For example, in a research conducted by Du Plessis and Botha (2012), “the prediction models clearly identified wellness-related variables as important predictors of first-year academic performance (who will pass and who will fail), of retention (who will stay and who will not stay) and of success in the minimum period.”

It is for this reason that additional evidence is required to determine whether possessing a high sense of meaning in the first year, can be a significant predictor of long-term achievement.

### Objectives of the Study

A sizable number of studies have been conducted on the impact of sense of meaning on first-year academic performance (Benejam 2006; Chen 2014; Makola 2007; Olivera-Celdran 2011; Turashvili and Japaridze 2012). However, according to Chen (2014), “researchers still have limited information on how long the positive effects last, but they predict that just a small shift in students' attitudes could trigger a chain reaction of stronger academic performance and resilience that builds upon itself and endures over time. As a result, it is the objective of the current research to investigate the role that can be played by a high sense of meaning in the first year of study in increasing throughput rates at the university level. In other words, the research wanted to investigate whether the levels of meaning in their first year of study can be used as a significant predictor of long-term achievement.

## METHODOLOGY

### Research Design

A correlation design has been used with throughput rate as the criterion variable, and meaning in life, parent as a resource and first-year performance as predictor variables.

### Research Hypothesis

Statistics indicate that South African students are dropping out of institutions of higher

learning at an alarming rate (Macfarlane 2006). This research investigates the role of a sense of meaning in improving academic success at university.

The researcher postulates that students with high levels of meaning are more likely to complete their studies at university.

The following three research hypotheses have been formulated for the paper:

1  $H_0$  Sense of meaning is not significantly related to throughput rate.

1  $H_1$  The levels of meaning experienced by students are positively related to throughput rate.

2  $H_0$  Achievement in the first year of study is not significantly related to throughput rate.

2  $H_1$  Academic performance in the first year of study is positively related to throughput rate.

3  $H_0$  Parental support of first-year students is not significantly related to throughput rate.

3  $H_1$  Support parents provide to students in the first year of study is positively related to throughput.

### Participants

They were 101 alumnae from the Faculty of Management Sciences, from a satellite campus of a university of technology, in South Africa. The research is a follow-up of a study conducted with the same participants in their first-year of study to investigate the relationship between the students' sense of meaning and the extent to which it influences first-year academic performance (N=101). Participants were selected randomly from the latter faculty because it is the largest of the three faculties, at the satellite campus. There were 150 first-year students in the faculty, and a total student population of 1500 at the satellite campus.

Defining characteristics of the research participants:

- ♦ Age (in 2013): group mean (27.3 years) and standard deviation (1.3). Youngest participant (25 years) and oldest (30 years).
- ♦ Gender distribution: 27 (26.7%) males and 74 (73.3%) females.

### Tool

The first measuring instrument, which the researcher used, is the *Purpose in Life Test (PIL)* of Crumbaugh and Maholick (1969). This tool

was administered to measure the meaning potentials of students. The PIL was designed to operationalize Frankl's ideas and to measure an individual's experience of meaning and purpose in life. It is a 20-item scale, and each item is rated on a 7-point scale and total scores therefore range from 20 (low purpose) to 140 (high purpose). According to the criterion provided by Crumbaugh and Maholic (1969), PIL scores of 92 or less are indicative of low meaning, and scores of 112 and more indicate definite purpose in life, with moderate meaning in between. A Cronbach's alpha coefficient of 0.84 was observed for the study sample. The PIL has also been widely used in the past (Du Plessis 1982) and more recently (Van Jaarsveld 2004) in South African studies. In a research by Van Jaarsveld (2004) an alpha coefficient of 0.86 and a test-retest reliability coefficient of 0.85 were obtained for the PIL.

The second instrument, which the researcher used, is the *Life Stressors and Resources Questionnaire-Youth Form (LISRES-Y)* (Moos and Moos 1994). This tool was administered to measure a variety of stressors, such as, living conditions, financial problems and social support, as well as social resources such as parental support. The questionnaire consists of 209 items broadly divided into two sections, namely, life stressors and social resources. The sub-scales of life stressors (SS) are:

- ♦ Physical Health (PH),
- ♦ Home and Money (HM),
- ♦ Parents (PAR),
- ♦ Siblings (SIB),
- ♦ Extended Family (FAM),
- ♦ School (SCH),
- ♦ Friends (FR),
- ♦ Boyfriend/Girlfriend (BG) and,
- ♦ Negative Life Experience (NLE).

The sub-scales for social resources (SR) are:

- ♦ Parents (PAR),
- ♦ Siblings (SIB),
- ♦ Extended Family (FAM),
- ♦ School (SCH),
- ♦ Friends (FR),
- ♦ Boyfriend/Girlfriend (BG), and,
- ♦ Positive Life Experience (PLE).

A high score indicates a high level of stress or the presence of adequate resources in a specific domain. This measuring instrument has proved to be reliable and valid. The internal consistency index ranges from 0.79-0.88 for Stressor scales and from 0.78-0.91 for the Social Re-

sources scales. The Cronbach alpha-coefficients in a South African research conducted by Wissing (1996) ranged between 0.79 and 0.88 for the life stressors and between 0.78 and 0.91 for the social resources scales.

The researcher also used a *biographical questionnaire*. This was self-compiled and it was used to gather the background information of each participant, such as age, previous scholastic results, home language, and the likes.

Student records were used to obtain data on students who completed their qualifications, and those who dropped out. The latter records were used to determine the throughput rate.

To investigate the reliability of the PIL and LISRES-Y, alpha coefficients were calculated for the current sample. The analysis was done with the help of the SPSS computer program (SPSS Incorporated 2001) as illustrated in Table 1.

### Procedure

The following three stages were implemented.

*Stage One:* The first stage involved gathering data in the first semester of 2005 with a random sample of 101 first-year students from the Faculty of Management Sciences. The grade twelve results, PIL, LISRES, Biographical Questionnaire, two focus group sessions and individual interviews were used to gather data in the first semester of the first-year of study. Amongst all other variables included, the outcome of Stage One revealed that three variables correlated significantly with academic performance, they are Purpose in life, Grade twelve results, and Parents as a resource; however, it was mainly the variable Purpose in life that showed a significant contribution to the criterion. It was for this reason that the latter variables were used in the longitudinal research.

*Stage Two:* The second stage was gathering data from the student administrator's office on academic performance at the end of the second semester of the first-year of study. A qualitative and quantitative analysis of the data was conducted and presented.

*Stage Three:* The third stage was gathering of data from the academic administrator's office on throughput rate (criterion), as well as from the variables, which were best predictors of first-year performance in the second stage, that is PIL, Parent as resource, and first-year academic performance. Matric results were replaced by first-year performance as one of the predictor

variables. Subsequently, throughput rate became the criterion variable. It should be noted that the same participants were used during the first, second and third stage of the research, whereby student records were used to obtain a trajectory of the academic performance. The latter records were also used to determine the throughput rate in 2007 and subsequent years, until 2013.

### Ethical Considerations

The following ethical issues were taken into consideration when conducting this research.

Permission to conduct this research was obtained from the Management of Central University of Technology, Free State. All students who participated in this research completed a consent form. Participants were assured that all information would be treated as confidential and anonymous.

The questionnaire was administered by the researcher, and completed individually by the participants. The latter were completed in the first-year of study, in 2005. Data was analyzed with a computer software program.

## RESULTS

The findings reported in Table 1 indicate that with the exception of the physical health and positive life events scales, acceptable internal consistency measurements were obtained. According to Nunnally and Bernstein (1994), coefficients of 0.60 and above are considered acceptable for non-cognitive constructs. Although the reliability of one of the scales (physical health) was not that high, it was decided to keep this scale in the analyses that follow because the coefficient was only slightly below 0.60.

**Table 1: Alpha-coefficients with respect to the PIL and LISRES-Y scales**

<i>LISRES Scales/PIL</i>		<i>α-coefficient</i>
<i>Stressors:</i>	Physical health	0.577
	Home and money	0.854
	Parents	0.864
	Friends	0.679
	Negative live events	0.761
<i>Resources:</i>	Parents	0.891
	Friends	0.830
<i>Purpose in life (PIL)</i>	Positive life events	0.600
	Total score	0.842

To investigate the research hypotheses, multiple regression analyses were performed. In this case, meaning in life, parent as resource and first-year performance are the independent variables, and the students' throughput rate is the dependent (criterion) variable. The method followed was to first determine the total variance explained by the predictor variables with regard to the criterion (throughput rate). The coefficient of determination or r-square ( $R^2$ ) was used to express the strength of the relationship between the predictor variables (meaning in life, parent as resource, first-year performance) and criterion variable (throughput rate).

A hierarchical  $F$ -test was used to determine whether the contribution by a specific variable to the  $R^2$  value is statistically significant. The way in which this test can be calculated is as follows:

$$F = \frac{(R^2_{y.1...k_1} - R^2_{y.1...k_2}) / (k_1 - k_2)}{(1 - R^2_{y.1...k_1}) / (N - k_1 - 1)}$$

Where,

$R^2_{y.1...k_1}$  = Quadratic multiple correlation coefficient for the large number of independent variables

$R^2_{y.1...k_2}$  = Quadratic multiple correlation coefficient for the smaller number of independent variables

$k_1$  = Larger number of independent variables

$k_2$  = Smaller number of independent variables

$N$  = Total number of cases (Van der Walt 1980).

When the significance of an increase in  $R^2$  is investigated, it is also necessary to calculate the effect size of the contribution by the predictor. The effect size indicates the contribution to  $R^2$  in terms of the proportion undeclared variance of the full model. According to Van der Westhuizen et al. (1989), the effect size of the individual contributions can be calculated in terms of  $f^2$  with the help of the following formula:

$$f^2 = \frac{R^2 - R^2_1}{1 - R^2_1}$$

Where,

$R^2$  = proportion variance declared by the full model

$R^2_1$  = proportion variance declared by the smaller number of independent variables.

According to Cohen (Steyn 1999), the following guideline values can be used:

$f^2 = 0.01$  : small effect

$f^2 = 0.15$  : medium effect

$f^2 = 0.35$  : large effect

Both the five percent and one percent level of significance were used in this research. The results that follow were obtained with the help of the GraphPad InStat version 3.10 for Windows, GraphPad Software computer program (Graph-Pad 2009).

The descriptive statistics (averages and standard deviations) with respect to all the relevant variables, for the qualitative component, were calculated and are presented in Table 2. The throughput rate was calculated by the number of years it took each student to complete a qualification. 'Parents as a resource' refers to the level of support each participant received from his or her parents, as measured by the LISRES-Y. As indicated in Table 2, a mean score of 2.37, and standard deviation of 2.29, was obtained for the criterion variable (Throughput Rate). In terms of the predictor variables, a mean score of 56.05, and standard deviation of 10.59, was obtained for the first-year performance; while a mean score 10.77, and a standard deviation of 5.95, was obtained for Parent as a Resource. Finally, a mean score of 110.07 and standard deviation of 18.11 was obtained for Purpose in Life (PIL).

**Table 2: Averages and standard deviations for the total research group**

Variables	N	X	SD
Throughput Rate (Criterion)	101	2.37	2.59
First-year performance	101	56.05	10.59
Parents as a Resource	101	10.77	5.59
Purpose in Life (PIL)	101	110.07	18.11

The ranges with respect to the Purpose in Life scores of all participants as well as those of participants with high, moderate, and low scores were calculated and are presented in Table 3. The information presented in Table 3 suggests that, out of 101 participants, there were 52 in the high meaning category, 29 in moderate meaning category and 20 in the low meaning category. As indicated in Table 3, the PIL ranges of partic-

**Table 3: The PIL ranges of all participants**

Participants	N	%	PIL Range
All Participants	101	100	62-140
Participants with High PIL	52	51	112-140
Participants with Moderate PIL	29	29	95-111
Participants with Low PIL	20	20	62-91

ipants who scored high on it ranged from 112 to 140, while those of participants with moderates PIL scores ranged between 95 to 111, and finally those of participants who scored low on the PIL ranged from 62 to 91.

Figures were also used to provide a scenario of participants in the respective categories. The percentage of all participants who completed their studies as well as those who dropped out of university is presented in Figure 1. As indicated in Figure 1, fifty-nine percent of participants managed to complete their studies while forty one percent dropped out of university. Again,

Figure 1 further indicates that only seventeen percent of the participants managed to complete their studies within the prescribed period. The accumulative percentage reveals that forty-eight percent of students completed their studies in four years. Amongst those who dropped out eighteen percent left at the end of their first-year of study, and an additional five percent in the second year.

The percentages of all participants who managed to complete their studies (N=60) are presented in Figure 2. As indicated in Figure 2, most (70%) of the participants who managed to com-




Fig.1. All participants in respective categories




Fig. 2. All participants who completed their studies

plete their studies are from the high meaning category, while twenty-seven percent are from the moderate meaning category and three percent are from the low meaning category. The accumulative percentages presented in Figure 2 indicate that fifty-five percent of the participants who scored high on the PIL managed to complete their studies in four years, in comparison to twenty-three percent of participants from the moderate category, and two percent from the low meaning category.

The percentages of all participants who dropped out of university (N=41) are presented in Figure 3. The accumulative percentages pre-

sented in Figure 3 indicate that amongst those who dropped out of university, forty-five percent are from the low meaning category, twenty-nine percent from the moderate meaning category, and only twenty-six percent are from the high meaning category. As indicated in Figure 2, majority of the participants, in all categories, dropped out of university in the first year of study.

Figure 4 presents the percentages of all participants in the high meaning category. Overall, eighty-one percent of participants in this category managed to complete their studies, sixty-three percent completed their studies in four




Fig. 3. All participants who dropped out of university




Fig. 4. All participants in the high meaning category

years, and only nineteen percent dropped out of university. Therefore, the results presented in Table 4 suggest that there seems to be a greater chance of succeeding at university amongst participants in the high meaning category.

The percentages of all participants with indecisive PIL scores are presented in Figure 5. As reported in Figure 5, fifty-five percent of participants with moderate PIL scores managed to complete their studies, while forty-five percent dropped out. As a result, there seem to be a moderate chance of succeeding at university amongst participants with indecisive PIL scores.

The percentages of students who achieved low score PIL scores are presented in Figure 6. The latter (Fig. 6) depicts that ninety percent of participants who fell in this category dropped

out of university, and only ten percent managed to complete. The accumulative percentages presented in Figure 6 indicate that forty-five percent of participants who scored low on the PIL dropped out of university in the first year of study; and only five percent managed to complete their studies in four years. As a result, the latter finding suggests that there seems to be a high possibility of dropping out of university amongst participants with a low sense on meaning.

**Inter-correlations**

Before presenting and discussing the results of the multiple regression analysis, the correlations between the predictor variables and the criterion, as well as correlations between predic-




Fig.5. Participants in the moderate meaning category




Fig. 6. Participants in the low meaning category



tor variables are indicated and discussed. The Pearson's product moment correlation coefficient between the variables is indicated in Table 4.

**Table 4: Correlations between predictor and criterion variables for the various groups**

Variables	1	2	3	4
1 Throughput Rate (Criterion)	-	48**	08	17
2 PIL Score		-	35**	27**
3 First-year academic performance			-	20*
4 Parents as Resource				-

Note: Decimal omitted

\*\* p <= 0. 01

\* p <= 0. 05

The multiple correlation coefficients in Table 4 show that on one percent level of significance there are significant correlations between the criterion (Throughput Rate) and PIL scores (0.48). No significant relationships were found between throughput rate and first-year academic performance, and parents as a resource respectively. Inter-correlations revealed significant correlations between first-year performance and PIL scores (0.35) as well as Parents as a resource (0.27). These significant coefficients are all positive, indicating that the higher the first-year

performance, the higher their scores in respect of Purpose in life and Parents as a resource.

At one percent level, there is a significant correlation between Purpose in life and Parents as a resource, in the first-year of study. This positive significant coefficient of determination indicates that the higher the Purpose in Life scores, the higher the Parents as a resource. This also seems to suggest that parents play a significant role in the development of a sense of purpose amongst their children. Similar to the findings of the present research, Shek's (1987) study found that both paternal treatment (PT) and maternal treatment (PT) showed a stronger and more positive correlation with PIL scores than with any other measure of psychological wellbeing.

**Multiple Regression Analysis**

A multiple regression analysis was performed in order to investigate the contributions of various predictor variables for the explanation of the variance in throughput rate of university students. A summary of the model is presented in Table 5 and the multiple correlation coefficients are presented in Table 6.

When the contributions of combined variables (PIL scores, Parents as a resource, and

**Table 5: Model Summary: Contributions of predictor variables to R<sup>2</sup>**

	Sum-of-squares	SD of residuals	R squared	Adjusted R squared	Multiple R	F
PIL Score	331.19	1.829	0.2337	0.2260	0.4835	30.1997
First-year Performance	329.83	1.835	0.2369	0.2213	0.4867	15.2100
Parents as a Resource	325.71	1.832	2.2464	0.2231	0.4964	10.5735

**Table 6: Coefficients: What equation fits the data the best?**

Variable	Unstandardized Coefficient					Multicollinearity	
	B	Std. Error	t ratio	P value	Sig?	VIF	R2 with other X
1 (constant)	-3.900	1.148		<0.0001	Yes	1.00	1.000
B: PIL Scores	0.05695	0.01086		<0.0001	Yes		
2 (constant)	-4.080	1.186	3.442	0.0009	Yes	1.02	0.0352
B: PIL Scores	0.05605	0.01049	5.342	<0.000	Yes		
C: Parent as a Resource	0.006671	0.01051	0.6344	10.5273	No	1.17	0.1433
3 (constant)	-3.448	1.315	2.623	0.0101	Yes	1.15	0.1341
B: PIL Scores	0.06030	0.01116	5.404	<0.0001	Yes		
C: Parent as a Resource	0.008207	0.01059	0.7748	0.4404	No	1.04	0.0352
D: 1 <sup>st</sup> year %	-0.02072	0.01869	1.109	0.2703	No	1.17	0.1433

First-year performance) to R<sup>2</sup> for the criterion are investigated, the results showed that the predictors together explain 24.6 percent of the variance in throughput rate or long-term achievement. This is significant on the one percent level [ $F_{11; 89} = 4.96; p < 0.01$ ].

When the contributions of individual predictors (PIL scores, Parental as Resource, and First-year performance) to R<sup>2</sup> for the criterion are investigated, it is clear that it was only the PIL scores, which made a significant contribution on the one percent level. On its own, the PIL scores explained 23.4 percent of the variance in throughput rate. On the basis of this, the researcher can claim that 23.4 percent of the throughput rate is significantly attributable to the students' levels of meaning in their first year of study. This means that 23.4 percent of the variation in throughput rate is explained by the regression model. The 76.6 percent is unexplained and thus acceptable considering the fact that attempts to predict human behavior are simply more difficult to predict than a physical process. This contribution has an effect size of 0.48 indicating medium to large practical value of the results. Thus the contribution of purpose in life can be considered to be of moderate to strong practical significance. It can be concluded that one predictor contributed significantly to long-term achievement of university students, namely, sense of meaning. Purpose in life explained such a large proportion of variance in performance because despite being exposed to stressors, in the end it is up to the students to decide how they respond to these. With positive attitudes, they are likely to succeed.

Multicollinearity was also determined. Such high correlations cause problems when trying to draw inferences about the relative contribution of each predictor variable to the success of the model. Thus, each R squared quantifies how well that X variable is predicted from the other X variables (ignoring Y). Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) is calculated from R squared. In the current model, all R squared values are low (<0.75). This means the X variables are independent of each other. Therefore, multicollinearity is not a problem.

## DISCUSSION

This research is a follow-up on a study conducted with the same participants to investigate

the relationship between students' sense of meaning and the extent to which it influences academic performance in the first year of study (Makola 2007). Having found this variable to be the most significant predictor of short-term achievement, the researcher deemed it necessary to investigate whether having a high sense of meaning, in the first year of study, can have a significant impact on long-term achievement. As a result, the purpose of this paper is to investigate the role that can be played by a sense of meaning in increasing throughput rates at university. In order to investigate this relationship without bias, the researcher identified two other variables that may also affect long-term achievement, that is, previous academic performance, and parents as a resource.

From these variables, three null and alternative hypotheses were formulated. In the next section the results are discussed and related to each hypothesis.

### Hypothesis 1 – Purpose in Life and Long-term Achievement

A significant correlation was found between purpose in life and throughput rate. As a result, the null hypothesis is rejected and the alternative hypothesis was supported by the results of this research. Subsequently, the students' levels of meaning, in the first year of study, were found to be a significant predictor of long-term achievement. Therefore, the results presented in this paper confirmed those of the research conducted by Du Plessis and Botha (2012), which reported that "the prediction models clearly identified wellness-related variables as important predictors of first-year academic performance (who will pass and who will fail), of retention (who will stay and who will not stay) and of success in the minimum period." Research conducted by Chen (2014) also discovered that a purposeful mindset motivates students to learn and persist in their studies. A study by Turashvili and Japaridze (2012) likewise revealed that students, who have medium or high level of academic performance, have high levels of meaning. This is due to the fact that sense of meaning is one of the constructs, which helps students tolerate life stressors more effectively, thus improving adjustment to the demands of life, including academic demands (Makola 2007). In addition, several researchers also confirmed that,

the motivation levels of students, especially in their first year of study, are considered to be the defining time for the rest of their university careers (Busse 2013; Cadet 2008; Campbell and Mislevy 2013; Greenway 2005; Hull-Blanks et al. 2005; Jackson et al. 2003; Morrow and Ackerman 2012; Shelton 2003; Tinto 1993).

The descriptive statistics revealed that only seventeen percent of the participants managed to complete their studies within the prescribed period. This finding confirms the vital statistics released by the Council for Higher Education in 2012, which reported that only sixteen percent of students who registered for degrees in 2005 graduated within the prescribed period. This figure is well below the national norm of twenty-five percent for full-time students studying towards a three-year qualification (CHE 2012). The descriptive statistics also report that, amongst those who dropped out, eighteen percent left at the end of their first year of study, while in a comparative American research twenty eight percent of the students did not persist to their sophomore year (Olivera-Celdran 2011).

The descriptive statistics also indicated that most (70%) of the participants who completed their studies are from the high meaning category, compared to only four percent of those in the low meaning category. When throughput rates are scrutinized within each category, the descriptive statistics reveal that eighty-one percent of the participants from the high meaning category managed to complete their studies, while ninety percent of participants in the low meaning category dropped out. As a result, the latter findings suggest that participants with a high sense of meaning seem to have a greater chance of succeeding at university, while those with a low sense of meaning pose a risk of dropping out.

The results of the current research indicates that the sense of meaning of first-year students at the Central University of Technology, Free State (Welkom Campus) is significantly higher than the scores reported for comparative British and American samples (Schumbenberg 2004; Zika and Chamberlain 1992), as well as those of a South African sample (Moomal 1999).

### **Hypothesis 2 – First-Year Academic Performance and Long Term Achievement**

No significant relationship was found between throughput rate and first-year academic

performance. As a result, the null hypothesis is accepted and the alternative hypothesis is rejected. Therefore, first-year academic performance was not related to the throughput rate. This, by implication, also means that there is no direct relationship between short-term and long-term achievement. In other words, realizing short-term goals does not necessarily guarantee the attainment of long-term goals. However, because a significant relationship was found between sense of meaning and academic performance in their first year and subsequent years, one can conclude that this construct is important in the attainment of both short and long-term goals. This simply means that even though the study found that realizing current goals does not seem to contribute towards realizing future ones, it is only when current achievements are coupled with a sense of meaning that future success is possible. It was from these findings that the researcher concluded that sense of meaning plays a significant role in persistence. On the contrary, in a study by Olivera-Celdran (2011), purposeful life was a statistically significant predictor of academic performance in the first year of university study but not a statistically significant predictor of successful completion of studies.

### **Hypothesis 3 - Parents as Resource and Long-Term Achievement**

No significant relationship was found between throughput rate and support rendered by parents, in the first year of study. As a result, the null hypothesis is accepted and the alternative hypothesis is rejected. Therefore, the support, which students receive from their parents, in the first year of study, is not significantly related to long-term achievement. This finding suggests that parental support should not end in the first year of study, but should continue until students complete their studies. The finding confirms the one by Godwin (2012), which reports that lack of parental support is one of the factors that could impact academic persistence among first-generation students. According to Cutrona et al. (1994), parental support seems to function as a buffer during stressful times, it facilitates coping. In a study conducted by Wycoff (1996), there was a significant relationship between emotional support received, especially from mothers (in 90% of respondents), and students' subsequent academic achievement. Pa-

rental involvement and support is very important for student success. When students know that their parents are with them, they are more likely to be motivated to achieving their goals (Gonzalez et al. 2001; Moller 1995; Peters 2012; Régner et al. 2009; Rothon et al. 2012).

The second, as well as, the third stage of this research reports a significant relationship between parents as a resource and high purpose in life scores. This advocates that, parents play a significant role in strengthening the sense of meaning of their children. Thus, considering the role played by this extrinsic motivation factor (parental support) in the development of an intrinsic motivation factor (sense of meaning), the latter discovery suggests that parental support, in the first year of study, has an indirect relationship with long-term achievement. A study by Alt (2015) discovered a positive relationship between the authoritative parenting style and intrinsic motivation variables. In addition, Martos and Kopp (2012) report a positive relationship between intrinsic motivation and meaning in life.

### CONCLUSION

Sense of meaning is an important aspect of one's life and its loss results in existential despair and lack of purpose making it harder to persevere to achieve the desired goal. When students enroll at university they expect to achieve, unfortunately some are hindered by meaninglessness. In his keynote address to the Pan Pacific First Year experiences Conference, Vincent Tinto mentioned that seventy-five percent of students who do not complete their studies attribute the reasons for this to difficulties encountered in the first year of study. Therefore, it is important that feelings of meaningfulness and purpose must replace feelings of emptiness so that they can excel more.

The present research reveals that a significant correlation was found between sense of meaning and throughput rate. On its own, the PIL scores explained 23.4 percent of the variance in throughput rate. This contribution has an effect size of 0.48, indicating medium to large practical value of the results. Therefore, the findings of this research suggest that higher levels of meaning, in the first year of study, are a significant predictor of long-term achievement. The results of this research added a valuable contri-

bution to the theoretical literature by providing empirical data, which measured the direction and the strength of the relationship between these variables.

### LIMITATIONS

The results presented in this paper should be interpreted in the light of the following limitations. The sample size was small and to this effect not all the stressor and resource sub-scales of the LISRES-Y were included. The research was limited only to one institution. The research might have had better face validity if it was conducted at or across different institutions, campuses and faculties. The population and sample of the research are alumnus students, who took part in the first stage of the research, in one faculty. A random sample from all first-year and subsequently all alumnus students could have been more valuable. The inclusion of more variables especially dispositional ones such as purpose in life and other cognitive factors such as aptitude could give a greater understanding of factors that impact on academic performance. The sample was homogenous with regard to race, age, and factors related to the background home environment. The questionnaires were only administered in the first semester in 2005. It would be interesting to know the levels of meaning of students in subsequent years, in order to assess the development of sense of meaning during their duration of stay at university.

Irrespective of the above mentioned limitations, it is envisaged that the outcomes of the research could have relevance to other universities around the country, because of the similarities in the circumstances of students in South Africa.

### RECOMMENDATIONS

The results mentioned above strengthen the previous findings that purpose in life is positively related to academic achievement. The unique contribution of this paper is in revealing that sense of meaning contributes to persistence, in the sense that, a high sense of meaning in the first year of study has a significant impact on long-term achievement. As a result, the findings presented in this paper emphasize that society and universities should not only pay attention to the academic side of students, but also to

their affective (meaning) portion. It is therefore recommended that mentors, parents and academicians should motivate students to understand the significance of sense of meaning in their lives. This can be done by assessing the levels of meaning in students in their first year of study and introducing brief meaning-centered interventions for those who achieved low meaning scores. The latter interventions can become part of the peer mentorship programs, which were recently introduced in institutions of higher learning by the South African Department of Higher Education and Training. Furthermore, educational leaders can use the findings to construct motivations to create enabling environments, which support the development of a stronger sense of meaning amongst students. Also, educational leaders can provide meaningful opportunities and activities for at-risk students to build their resilience, self-efficacy, and sense of meaning. Finally, this research should provide a foundation for promoting resilience amongst all students, especially vulnerable students who come from poor socio-economic backgrounds. High schools could also prepare learners for the demands of higher education through developing the sense of meaning of their learners.

## REFERENCES

- Alt D 2015. First-year female college students' academic motivation as a function of perceived parenting styles: A contextual perspective. *Journal of Adult Development*, 22(2): 63-75.
- Balduf M 2009. Underachievement among college students. *Journal of Advanced Academics*, 20: 274-294.
- Benejam G 2006. *Defining Success Factors for Graduate Students*. US ProQuest: Information and Learning Digital.
- Busse V 2013. Why do first-year students of German lose motivation during their first year at university? *Studies in Higher Education*, 38(7): 951-971.
- Campbell CM, Mislevy J 2013. Students' perceptions matter: Early signs of undergraduate student retention/attrition. *Journal of College Student Retention*, 14(4): 467-493.
- Cadet DL 2008. *Leaving College Early or Persisting to Graduation: A Study of African-American Men*. PhD Thesis, Unpublished. Virginia: Virginia Commonwealth University.
- Chambers T, Parks S 2002. Helping students find their place and purpose. *About Campus*, 7: 20-24.
- CHE 2012. *Vital Stats Public Higher Education 2010*. Pretoria: CHE.
- Crumbaugh JC, Maholick LT 1969. *Manual of Instructions for the Purpose in Life Test*. Munster, Indiana: Psychometric Affiliates.
- Chen I 2014. How a Bigger Purpose Can Motivate Students to Learn. From <<http://www2.kqed.org/mindshift/2014/08/18/how-a-bigger-purpose-can-motivate-students-to-learn/>> (Retrieved on 1 June 2015).
- Cutrona CE, Cole V, Colangelo N, Assouline SG, Russell DW 1994. Perceived parental social support and academic achievement: An attachment theory perspective. *Personality and Social Psychology*, 66: 369-378.
- DeWitz SJ, Woolsey ML, Walsh WB 2009. College student retention: An exploration of the relationship between self-efficacy beliefs and purpose in life among college students. *Journal of College Student Development*, 50: 19-34.
- DHET 2012. Green Paper for Post-school Education and Training. From <<http://www.info.gov.za/view/DownloadFileAction?id=157779>> (Retrieved on 6 March 2014).
- Du Plessis SA, Botha HL 2012. Mining Wellness and Performance Data to Identify At-Risk First-Year Engineering Students. Paper presented in *The International Conference of Data Mining and Knowledge Engineering*, in London, UK, July 4-6.
- Du Plessis WF 1982. *Beangste en nie-beangste eerstejaardamestudente: 'n klinies-psigologiese verkenning*. PhD Thesis, Unpublished. Potchefstroom: Potchefstroom University for Christiaan Higher Education.
- Gifford DD, Briceño-Perriott J, Mianzo F 2006. Locus of control: Academic achievement and retention in a sample of university first-year students. *Journal of College Admission*, 191: 18-25.
- Godwin AF 2012. *First-Generation Students: Identifying Barriers to Academic Persistence*. EdD Thesis, Unpublished. Pensacola: University of West Florida.
- Gonzalez A, Greenwood G, WenHsu J 2001. Undergraduate students' goal orientations and their relationship to perceived parenting styles. *College Student Journal*, 35: 182-202.
- GraphPad 2009. "Inter Correlation was performed using GraphPadInstat version 3.10 for Windows, Graph Pad Software, La Jolla California USA, www.graphpad.com".
- GraphPad 2009. "Multiple Regression was performed using GraphPadInstat version 3.10 for Windows, GraphPad Software, La Jolla California USA, www.graphpad.com".
- Greenway KA. 2005. *Purpose in Life: A Pathway to Academic Engagement and Success*. Ph D Thesis, Unpublished. California: Azusa Pacific University.
- Humphrey KSW 2005. *How can University Personnel Assist Students in Their Search for Purpose?* PhD Thesis, Unpublished. Saint Louis: Saint Louis University.
- Hull-Blanks E, Kurpius SER, Befort C, Sol-lenberger S, Nicpon MF, Huser L 2005. Career goals and retention-related factors among college freshmen. *Journal of Career Development*, 32(1): 16-30.
- Jackson AP, Smith SA, Hill CL 2003. Academic persistence among Native American college students. *Journal of College Student Development*, 44: 548-565.
- Macfarlane D 2006. Shock Varsity Dropout Stats. *Mail and Guardian, Weekly*, September 22, 2006, P. 2.

- Makola S 2007. *Meaning in Life and Life Stressors as Predictors of First-Year Academic Performance*. PhD Thesis, Unpublished. Bloemfontein: University of the Free State.
- Makola S, Van Der Berg H 2010. A study on logotherapy and adjustment in first-year university students. *The International Forum for Logotherapy*, 33: 87-94.
- Mansfield PM, Pinto MB, Parente DH, Wortman TI 2009. College students and academic performance: A case of taking control. *NASPA Journal*, 46: 502-518.
- Martos T, Kopp M 2012. Life goals and well-being: Does financial status matter? Evidence from a representative Hungarian sample. *Social Indicators Research*, 105(3): 561-568.
- Martin JD, Martin EM 1977. The relationship of the Purpose in Life (PIL) Test to the Personal Orientation Inventory (POI), the Otis-Lennon Mental Ability Test scores and grade point averages of high school students. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 37: 1103-1105.
- Molasso R 2006. Exploring Frankl's purpose in life with college students. *Journal of College and Character*, 7: 1-10.
- Moller V 1995. Home environment and educational achievement among high-school pupils living in three-generation. *South African Journal of Sociology*, 26: 87-97.
- Moomal Z 1999. The relationship between meaning in life and mental well-being. *South African Journal of Psychology*, 29: 36-41.
- Moos RH, Moos BS 1994. *Life Stressors and Social Resources Inventory- Professional Manual: Psychological Assessment Resources*. Florida: Odessa.
- Moran CD 2001. Purpose in life, student development and well-being: Recommendations for student affairs practitioners. *NASPA Journal*, 38: 269- 279.
- Morrow JA, Ackermann ME 2015. Intention to persist and retention of first-year students: The importance of motivation and sense of belonging. *College Student Journal*, 46(3): 483-491.
- Nackord EJ 1983. A college test of logotherapeutic concepts. *The International Forum for Logotherapy*, 6: 117-122.
- Nkosi B 2015. Of the 18% of Matrics Registered at Universities, Half Drop Out. From <<http://mg.co.za/article/2015-05-21-18-of-matrics-register-at-universities-half-drop-out>> (Retrieved on 1 June 2015).
- Nunnally J, Bernstein IH 1994. *Psychometric Theory*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Olivera-Celdran G 2011. *Purpose in Life and Career Indecision of Academic Success in College*. PhD Thesis, Unpublished. Charlotte: University of North Carolina.
- Peters TJ 2012. *Parental Involvement: How Does It Relate to Student Behavior and Academic Success?* PhD Thesis, Unpublished. Minneapolis: Capella University.
- Régner I, Loose F, Dumas F 2009. Students' perceptions of parental and teacher academic involvement: Consequences on achievement goals. *European Journal of Psychology of Education - EJPE (Instituto Superior de Psicologia Aplicada)*, 24(2): 263-277.
- Reisser L, Chickering A 1993. *Educations and Identity*. San Francisco. Jossey-Bass.
- Rothon C, Goodwin L, Stansfeld S 2012. Family social support, community 'social capital' and adolescents' mental health and educational outcomes: A longitudinal study in England. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*, 47(5): 697-709.
- Schumbenberg SE 2004. A psychometric investigation of logotherapy measures and the outcome questionnaire (OQ-45.2). *North American Journal of Psychology*, 6: 477-492.
- Seepe J 2005. Universities Must Enforce Re-admission Rules - Pandor. *City Press, Weekly*, May 15, 2005, P. 15.
- Shek DTL 1987. Perceptions of parental treatment styles and psychological well-being in Chinese adolescents. *Journal of Genetic Psychology*, 150: 403-415.
- Shelton EN 2003. Faculty support and student retention. *Journal of Nursing Education*, 42: 68-76.
- SPSS Incorporated 2001. *SPSS User's Guide: Version 12.0*. New York: Author.
- Steyn HS 1999. *Praktiesebeduidendheid: die gebruik van effekgroottes*. PhD Thesis, Unpublished. Potchefstroom: Potchefstroom University for Christiaan Higher Education.
- Tinto V 1993. *Leaving College: Rethinking the Causes and Cures for Student Attrition*. 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Tinto V 1995. Keynote address. *The Inaugural Pan Pacific First Year Experience Conference*, Brisbane, Australia, September 8 to 10, 1997.
- Turashvili T, Japaridze M 2012. Psychological well-being and its relation to academic performance of students in Georgian context. *Problems of Education in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century*, 49: 73-80.
- Wissing JAB 1996. *Die dinamiek van stress, bronne, coping en psigologiese welsyn by jeugdiges*. MA Dissertation, Unpublished. Potchefstroom: Potchefstroom University for Christiaan Higher Education.
- Wycoff SEM 1996. Academic performance of Mexican women: Sources of support that serve as motivating variables. *Journal of Multicultural Counseling and Development*, 24: 146-155.
- Van Jaarsveld ZG 2004. *Finding Meaning in the Workplace*. MA Dissertation, Unpublished. Pretoria: University of South Africa.
- Van der Walt HS 1980. Die wetenskaplike gebruik van inligting by voorligting en keuring vir vakleerlingopleiding. *Humanitas*, 6: 85-96.
- Van der Westhuizen GJ, Monteith JLK, Steyn HS 1989. Relative contribution of different sets of variables to the prediction of the academic achievement of black students. *South African Journal of Education*, 9: 769-773.
- Zika S, Chamberlain K 1992. On the relation between meaning and psychological well-being. *British Journal of Psychology*, 83: 133-145.